Open Access



KCNJ11, ABCC8 and *TCF7L2* polymorphisms and the response to sulfonylurea treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes: a bioinformatics assessment

Jingwen Song¹, Yunzhong Yang¹, Franck Mauvais-Jarvis², Yu-Ping Wang³ and Tianhua Niu^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a worldwide epidemic with considerable health and economic consequences. Sulfonylureas are widely used drugs for the treatment of patients with T2D. *KCNJ11* and *ABCC8* encode the K_{ir}6.2 (pore-forming subunit) and SUR1 (regulatory subunit that binds to sulfonylurea) of pancreatic β cell K_{ATP} channel respectively with a critical role in insulin secretion and glucose homeostasis. *TCF7L2* encodes a transcription factor expressed in pancreatic β cells that regulates insulin production and processing. Because mutations of these genes could affect insulin secretion stimulated by sulfonylureas, the aim of this study is to assess associations between molecular variants of *KCNJ11*, *ABCC8* and *TCF7L2* genes and response to sulfonylurea treatment and to predict their potential functional effects.

Methods: Based on a comprehensive literature search, we found 13 pharmacogenetic studies showing that single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) located in *KCNJ11*: rs5219 (E23K), *ABCC8*: rs757110 (A1369S), rs1799854 (intron 15, exon 16 -3C/T), rs1799859 (R1273R), and *TCF7L2*: rs7903146 (intron 4) were significantly associated with responses to sulfonylureas. For *in silico* bioinformatics analysis, SIFT, PolyPhen-2, PANTHER, MutPred, and SNPs3D were applied for functional predictions of 36 coding (*KCNJ11*: 10, *ABCC8*: 24, and *TCF7L2*: 2; all are missense), and HaploReg v4.1, RegulomeDB, and Ensembl's VEP were used to predict functions of 7 non-coding (*KCNJ11*: 1, *ABCC8*: 1, and *TCF7L2*: 5) SNPs, respectively.

Results: Based on various *in silico* tools, 8 *KCNJ11* missense SNPs, 23 *ABCC8* missense SNPs, and 2 *TCF7L2* missense SNPs could affect protein functions. Of them, previous studies showed that mutant alleles of 4 *KCNJ11* missense SNPs and 5 *ABCC8* missense SNPs can be successfully rescued by sulfonylurea treatments. Further, 3 *TCF7L2* non-coding SNPs (rs7903146, rs11196205 and rs12255372), can change motif(s) based on HaploReg v4.1 and are predicted as risk factors by Ensembl's VEP.

Conclusions: Our study indicates that a personalized medicine approach by tailoring sulfonylurea therapy of T2D patients according to their genotypes of *KCNJ11*, *ABCC8*, and *TCF7L2* could attain an optimal treatment efficacy.

Keywords: Sulfonylurea, Type 2 diabetes, Pharmacogenetics, *ABCC8*, *KCNJ11*, *TCF7L2*, Single nucleotide polymorphism, Bioinformatics, *In silico*

* Correspondence: tniu@tulane.edu

¹Department of Global Biostatistics and Data Science, Tulane University School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Background

The prevalence of diabetes is increasing at a fast rate, which was 6.4% (285 million) among adults aged 20–79 years in 2010, and will increase to 7.7% (438 million) by 2030 [1]. Among all diabetic cases, approximately 90% are patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D), which is associated with a number of microvascular complications including retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy, as well as macrovascular complications [2]. T2D is caused by a plethora of lifestyle and genetic factors [3, 4]. Current therapies for T2D include life-style modifications and use of oral antidiabetic drugs, with sulfonylurea being one of the most frequently used one [5]. There are a number of different sulfonylurea treatments for T2D patients, among which the commonly used ones are gliclazide, glibenclamide, glimepiride and glipizide [6].

Sulfonylurea promotes insulin secretion from the pancreatic β cells of the pancreas in a glucose-independent manner by binding to ATP-sensitive K⁺ (K_{ATP}) channel on the cell membrane of pancreatic β cells. K_{ATP} channel is a heterooctamer comprising the inward-rectifier potassium ion channels K_{ir}6.x (i.e., K_{ir}6.1 and K_{ir}6.2) that form the pore, and sulfonylurea receptors (SUR; i.e., SUR1, SUR2A, and SUR2B) that regulate the opening and closing of its associated K_{ir}6.x potassium channel, as SUR is sensitive to ATP and ADP levels. The binding of sulfonylureas to the corresponding receptors could lead to an efflux of intracellular potassium, hyperpolarization of the β cell membrane, and the opening of voltage-gated calcium channels, which result in an increased secretion of insulin to circulation (Fig. 1).

The pancreatic β cell K_{ATP} channel consists of four poreforming subunits of the inwardly rectifying potassium channel Kir6.2 and four regulatory subunits of the SUR1 [7–9]. When blood glucose concentrations rise, an increase in glucose metabolism results in a change of ADP/ATP ratio, which leads to a closing of KATP channel. The respective genes encoding Kir6.2 and SUR1, i.e., KCNJ11 and ABCC8, are located next to each other on human chromosome 11p15.15. Mutations in KCNJ11 or ABCC8 genes could decrease or abolish the metabolic sensitivity of β cell K_{ATP} channel function, leading to a constant depolarization of the cell membrane and a persistent insulin secretion even at very low plasma glucose concentrations [10]. E.g., single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) E23K (i.e., rs5219) of KCNJ11 gene is associated with T2D risk (reviewed in [11]), is shown to result in a decrease or loss of sensitivity of KATP channel to the inhibitory effect of ATP [12] and/or an enhancement of activation by free fatty acids [13]. Further, mutations in ABCC8 gene could cause hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia [10]. The β cell K_{ATP} channel can be pharmacologically regulated by sulfonylureas, which function by binding to and closing the K_{ATP} channel [14] that leads to membrane depolarization, which subsequently results in an activation of voltage-dependent calcium channels causing an influx of calcium, which then triggers insulin granule exocytosis.

TCF7L2 encodes a member of the T-cell factor (TCF) transcription factor that plays a critical role in Wnt signaling pathway [15], which is shown to be involved in β cell dysfunction in T2D [16]. TCF7L2 is a member of the TCF-lymphocyte enhancer factor (LEF) protein family [17], and the bipartite transcription factor β -catenin/TCF-LEF serves as an effector of cAMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA) signaling to mediate the physiological effects of peptide hormones including glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), which utilizes cAMP as a second messenger [18, 19]. *TCF7L2* gene SNPs are strongly associated with a higher risk of T2D development [15], which could be mediated by their influences on blood glucose homeostasis [20].



Sulfonylureas show considerable inter-individual variations in the hypoglycemic response, with approximately 10-20% of patients having a less than 20 mg/dl reduction in fasting plasma glucose (FPG) following the initiation of sulfonylurea therapy (called primary sulfonylurea failure) [21]. Further, about 50-60% of patients will initially have a greater than 30 mg/dl reduction in FPG, but will fail to reach the desired glycemic treatment goals [21]. In contrast, some T2D patients could have higher risks of mild or severe hypoglycemia in response to sulfonylurea treatment [22-24]. Molecular variants of sulfonylurea drug target genes KCNJ11, ABCC8, and TCF7L2 could lead to different responses to sulfonylurea therapy in T2D patients. Therefore, their impacts need to be carefully evaluated. The primary objective of this study is to predict functional effects of 36 coding (KCNJ11: 10, ABCC8: 24, and TCF7L2: 2, and all missense) and 7 non-coding (KCNJ11: 1, ABCC8: 1, and TCF7L2: 5) SNPs that were identified from published literatures and MutDB database (http://www.mutdb.org/) by applying a spectrum of in silico bioinformatics tools. Each Kir6.2 subunit has two transmembrane domains called M1 and M2, and the pore-forming domain is located between them [25]. The locations of 10 missense SNPs (including the well-studied E23K) in the KCNJ11 protein that comprises 390 amino acids [26] are shown in Fig. 2, respectively. Each





SUR1 subunit has three transmembrane domains, i.e., TMD0, TMD1, and TMD3, and two nucleotide binding domains, i.e., NBD1 and NBD2. Between TMD0 and TMD1, there is a cytosolic loop called CL3 [27]. The locations of 24 missense SNPs (including the well-studied A1369S) in the ABCC8 protein that comprises 1581 amino acids [28] are shown in Fig. 3. The human TCF7L2 gene consists of 17 exons, five of which are alternatively spliced (i.e., exons 4, 13, 14, 15, and 16) and exhibits tissue-specific expression [29]. The differential splicing of TCF7L2 potentially gives rise to three groups of protein isoforms (i.e., short-, medium-, and large-length isoforms) with highly differential functional properties. These three groups depend on the predicted stop codon usages, which are located in exons 15, 16, 17 [30]. To date, TCF7L2 intronic SNP, rs7903146, represents the most significant risk variant for T2D [31]. However, four other non-coding SNPs, i.e., rs7901695, rs7895340, rs11196205 and rs12255372, have also been significantly associated with an increased risk of T2D [32] and have been widely studied. The locations of these 5 non-coding SNPs in the gene structure of TCF7L2 (including the well-studied intronic SNP rs7903146) are illustrated in Fig. 4.

Methods

Literature search strategy

Comprehensive electronic literature searches of databases including PubMed, Google Scholar, Cochrane Library, Excerpta Medica Database (EMBASE) were performed up to June 1, 2016 using the following keywords: sulfonylurea, type 2 diabetes, *KCNJ11, ABCC8*, and *TCF7L2*. A manual search of the references cited in initially identified articles was also performed. Furthermore, we searched all relevant references of three comprehensive review articles [5, 33, 34]. The search was restricted to English language articles.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Randomized controlled trials and observational studies were eligible for inclusion in the current study. *In vitro* studies, animal studies, letters, reviews, and unrelated articles and duplicates were excluded from this study.

Data extraction

From each included study, the following data were extracted: first author, publication year, SNP name, gene name, National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) dbSNP (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp/) ID, study design, study subjects, control source, length of follow-up, and results.

In silico bioinformatics analysis

Computational predictions of functional impacts of non-synonymous SNPs (nsSNPs)

Five *in silico* tools were applied: (i) SIFT [35] (http://sift.jc-vi.org/), (ii) PolyPhen-2 [36] (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/



pph2/), (iii) PANTHER [37] (http://www.pantherdb.org/ tools/csnpScore.do), (iv) MutPred [38]) (http://mutpred.mutdb.org/), and (v) SNPs3D [39] (http://www.snps3d.org/).

Computational predictions of functional impacts of non-coding SNPs

Three *in silico* tools were applied: (i) HaploReg v4.1 [40, 41] (http://www.broadinstitute.org/mammals/haploreg/haplor eg.php), (ii) RegulomeDB [42] (http://regulomedb.org/),

and (iii) Ensembl's VEP [43] (http://www.ensembl.org/ Homo_sapiens/Tools/VEP?db=core).

Results

A total of 17 articles corresponding to 17 independent studies were qualified and subsequently included for evaluating the relationships between *KCNJ11, ABCC8* and *TCF7L2* SNPs and response to sulfonylurea in patients with T2D. The detailed characteristics of these 17 studies [44–60] were presented in Table 1. Of them, 13 studies

Table 1	Characteris	itics of	include	d studie	$(N = 17)^*$						
Study ID	Author	Year	Gene Symbol	SNP Name	dbSNP ID	Study Design	Study Subjects	Control Source	Length of Follow-up	Results	Association
Study 1	Gloyn et al. [44]	2001	KCNJ11	E23K	rs5219	RCT	363 Caucasian T2D and 307 normoglycemic control subjects	UKPDS	1 year	Variant allele did not significantly affect the response to SU therapy significantly	N
Study 2	Sesti et al. [45]	2006	KCNJ11	E23K	rs5219	RCT	525 Caucasian T2D patients with secondary SU failure	Hospital-based	Υ Υ Υ	Secondary SU failure, K allele vs E allele (OR = 1.45, 95% CI 1.01–2.09; $P = 0.04$). Adjustment for age, gender, fasting glycemia, glycosylated hemoglobin, age at diagnosis, and duration of diabetes in a logistic regression analysis did not change this association (OR = 1.69; 95% CI: 1.02–2.78; $P = 0.04$)	Kes
Study 3	Feng et al. [46]	2008	KCNJ11	E23K	rs5219	RCT	1268 Chinese T2D patients treated with 8-week gliclazide	Hospital-based	8 weeks	E23K variant of the <i>KCNU11</i> gene was significantly associated with decreases in FPG ($P = 0.002$).	Yes
Study 4	Holstein et al. [47]	2009	KCNJ11	E23K	rs5219	Case- control	43 T2D patients treated with glimepiride or glibenclamide	Hospital-based	Ч И	E23K variant was significantly associated with increased HbA1c levels (adjusted $P = 0.04$) independent of age, sex, body mass index, diabetes duration and SU dose.	Yes
Study 5	Nikolac et al. [48]	2009	KCNJ11	E23K	rs5219	Cross- sectional	228 Caucasian T2D patients with SU therapy	Hospital-based	NA	For KCNI11 E23K polymorphism, for different genotype groups, there were no significant differences of FPG, PPG, and HbA1c concentrations ($P = 0.143$, 0.675, and 0.824, respectively)	N
Study 6	El-sisi et al. [49]	2011	KCNJ11	E23K	rs5219	Case- control	50 Egyptian T2D patients with secondary SU failure	Hospital-based	AN	Secondary SU failure, EK + KK vs. EE (RR = 1.65; 95% Cl: 1.04–2.6; <i>P</i> = 0.04).	Yes
Study 7	Javorsky et al. [50]	2012	KCNJ11	E23K	rs5219	RCT	55 T2D patients with 6-month treatment of gliclazide	Hospital-based	6 months	For AHbA1c EK+ KK vs. EE (1.15 ± 0.09 vs. 0.80 ± 0.13, <i>P</i> = 0.036)	Yes
Study 7	Javorsky et al. [50]	2012	KCNJ11	E23K	rs5219	RCT	28 T2D patients with 6-month treatment of glimepiride	Hospital-based	6 months	For AHbA1c EK + KK vs. EE (1.10 ± 0.12 vs. 1.00 ± 0.19 P = 0.676)	No
Study 7	Javorsky et al. [50]	2012	KCNJ11	E23K	rs5219	RCT	14 T2D patients with 6-month treatment of glibenclamide	Hospital-based	6 months	For AHbA1c EK + KK vs. EE (1.05 ± 0.11 vs. 0.98 ± 0.09 P = 0.633)	No
Study 8	Ragia et al. [51]	2012	KCNJ11	E23K	rs5219	Case- control	92 T2D patients (80 glimepiride/12 gliclazide) who had experienced at least one drug-associated hypoglycemic event, while 84 T2D patients (74 glimepiride/10 gliclazide) who had never experienced a hypoglycemic event	Hospital-based	Ч Х	<i>KCNU11</i> E23K genotype and allele frequencies were not different between hypoglycemic and non-hypoglycemic T2D patients (<i>P</i> = 0.35 and 0.47, respectively). In logistic regression models before and after a djustment for other risk factors (age, body mass index, sulfonylurea mean daily dose, duration of T2D, renal function	2 2

Song et al. BMC Medical Genetics (2017) 18:64

	Yes	No	Yes	0 Z	Yes	Kes
and CTPZCS genotype), ACNUTLESK polymorphism did not affect hypoglycemia risk	Patients with the KK genotype had larger augmentations in changes (Δ) in acute insulin response ($P = 0.03$), Patients with the EK genotype had a lower variance in changes in fasting insulin levels ($P = 0.049$) and homeostasis model assessment of β cell function ($P = 0.021$) than those with the KK genotype	Variant allele did not significantly affect the response to SU therapy significantly	For T2D patients treated with SU agents, those subjects bearing at least one -3C allele and had fasting plasma TG concentrations 35% lower than TT homozygotes [2.20 mmol/L (1.14–4.14) for TT vs. 1.43 mmol/L (0.81–2.52) for TC + C; $P = 0.026$]	There was no significant impact of ABCC8 exon 16 -3C/T polymorphism on the early ineffectiveness of SU treatment ($P = 0.4126$ based on a Chi-square test)	CC genotype of the ABCC8 exon 16 polymorphism had significantly lower HbA1c concentration compared to the patients with T genotype [6,9 (6.2–7.7) mmol/L vs. 8.1 (6.7–8.8) mmol/L; $P = 0.009$]	Polymorphic allele carriers of the ABCC8 intron 15 -3C/T (which is 3 bp ahead of exon 16) polymorphism were more frequent in the subgroup of patients with the TG concentration increase after 6 months (P for genotype and allelic differences: 0.024 and 0.015, respectively)
	16 weeks	1 year	ΨZ I	Ч И И	AA	₹ Z
	Hospital-based	UKPDS	3 large representative French samples (in Lille, Strasbourg, and Toulouse) participating in the risk factor surveys of the WHO-MONICA	Hospital-based	Hospital-based	Hospital-based
	108 Chinese T2D patients treated with gliclazide for 16 weeks	363 Caucasian T2D patients	70 T2D patients with SU therapy	68 Caucasian T2D patients who required insulin treatment and had known diabetes duration ≤ 5 years, compared to 99 Caucasian T2D patients receiving SU alone or in combination with metformin or acarbose with known diabetes duration ≥ 15 years	228 Caucasian T2D patients with SU therapy	251 Caucasian T2D patients with SU therapy
	Ŕ	RCT	Cross- sectional	Case- control	Cross- sectional	Cross- sectional
	rs5219	rs1800467	rs1799854	rs1 799854	rs1 799854	rs1 799854
	E23K	L270V	lntron 15, exon 16 -3C/T	Intron 15, exon 16 -3C/T	Intron 15, exon 16 -3C/T	Intron 15, exon 16 -3C/T
	KCNJ11	KCNJ11	ABCC8	ABCC8	ABCC8	ABCC8
	2014	2001	2001	2002	2009	2012
	Li et al. [52]	Gloyn et al. [44]	Meirhaeghe et al. [53]	Zychma et al. [54]	Nikolac et al. [48]	Nikolac et al. [55]
	Study 9	Study 1	Study 10	Study 11	Study 5	Study 12

	'es	és	9	és	és	es	,es
	~	≻ U	~	~	~	~	~
	For AHbA1c TG + GG vs. TT (1.60 ± 1.39 vs. 0.76 ± 1.70, P = 0.044)	Compared with TT genotype, subjects with the GG genotype had a 7.7% greater decrease in FPG ($P < 0.001$), an 11.9% greater decreas in 2-h plasma glucose ($P = 0.003$), and a 3.5% greater decrease in HbA1c ($P = 0.06$)	There were no significant difference: in ABCC8 A13695 genotype distribution between patients with or without severe hypoglycemia ($P = 0.26$). Moreover, the A1369 allele tended to be less frequent in the hypoglycemic group (31 vs. 43%; OR = 1.65; 95% CI: 0.92–2.96; $P = 0.09$)	GG genotype of the <i>ABCC8</i> exon 31 polymorphism had significantly higher HbA1c concentration compared to the AA genotype [7.8 (6.9–88) mmo//L vs. 6.3 (5.7–6.8) mmo//L; P < 0.001]	Wile-type G allele carriers had a significantly higher TG concentration when compared with the carriers of two variant A alleles ($P = 0.023$)	Carriers of the risk allele were less likely to respond to SUs with an OR for failure of 1.95 (95% CI: 1.23–3.06; $P = 0.005$), comparing rs12255372 TT vs. GG. Including the baseline HDA1c strengthened this association (OR = 2.16, 95% CI: 1.21–3.86, $P = 0.009$)	Reduction in HbA1c: CC vs. CT + TT is 1.16 ± 0.07 vs. 086 ± 0.07%, P = 0.003 Reduction in FPG: 1.57 ± 0.12 vs. 1.14 ± 0.14 mmo/J, P = 0.031)
	8 weeks	8 weeks	A	₹ Z	AN	12 months	6 months
	Hospital-based	Hospital-based	Hospital-based	Hospital-based	Hospital-based	GoDARTS	Hospital-based
	115 T2D patients with gliclazide treatment for 8 weeks	1268 Chinese T2D patients treated with 8-week gliclazide	32 patients with T2D admitted to hospital with severe hypoglycemia and 125 consecutive T2D outpatients without severe hypoglycemia, and all of the patients were taking glimepiride or glibenclamide	228 Gaucasian T2D patients with SU therapy	251 Caucasian T2D patients with SU therapy	901 T2D patients with SU treatment	8.7 T2D patients with 6-month SU treatment in addition to metformin
ontinued)	RCT	RCT	Case- control	Cross- sectional	Cross- sectional	RCT	RCT
(= 17) (Co	rs757110	rs757110	rs757110	rs1799859	rs1 799859	rs7903146	rs7903146
studies (N	A1369S	A1369S	A1369S	R1273R**	R1273R**	Ч Z	Ч
icluded	ABCC8	ABCC8	ABCC8	ABCC8	ABCC8	CF7L2	CF7L2
cs of in	2007 /	2008 /	2010 /	2009 /	2012 /	2007 7	2011 7
Characteristi	Zhang et al. [56]	Feng et al. [46]	Sato et al. [57]	Nikolac et al. [48]	Nikolac et al. [55]	Pearson et al. [58]	Schroner et al. [59]
Table 1	Study 13	Study 3	Study 14	Study 5	Study 12	Study 15	Study 16

Conti
*
s (N
studie
ncluded
of i
aracteristics
J

Table 1 Characteristics of included studies (N = 17)^{*} (*Continued*)

Study 17 Holstein et al. [60]	2011	TCF7L2	₹ Z	rs7903146 RCT	189 T2D patients with 6-month SU treatment	Hospital-based	6 months	T allele was significantly more frequent in the group of patients who failed to respond to SU (i.e., those with HbA1c \geq 7%) (36%) than in the control (i.e., those with HbA1c < 7%) group (26%) (OR = 1.57, 95% CI: 1.01-2.45, P = 0.046)	Yes
⁵ Studies are grouped by Go-DARTS Genetics of C sulfonylurea receptor, <i>T</i> .	/ differer liabetes 2D type MA not	nt genes. Audit and 2 diabete	For each ge 1 Research S :s, TG triglyc	ne, studies are first sortec tudy in Tayside Scotland, eride, <i>UKPDS</i> United King	J by SNP Name, then by Year, and then I HbA 1c glycated hemoglobin A1c, OR od dom Prospective Diabetes Study, WHO-N	by Author, in ascending o dds ratio, <i>RCT</i> randomized <i>MONICA</i> World Health Org	rders. <i>Abbrevia</i> clinical trial, SA anization-Multi	<i>tions: Cl</i> confidence interval, <i>FPG</i> fasting pla <i>IP</i> single nucleotide polymorphism, <i>SU</i> sulf national MONItoring of trends and determi	asma glucose, conylurea, <i>SUR</i> iinants of

entroversure discusses, where entroversures.

gave positive results, which showed that 1 SNP located in KCNJ11 rs5219 (E23K) [45-47, 49, 50, 52], 3 SNPs located in ABCC8: rs757110 (A1369S) [46, 56], rs1799854 (intron 15, exon 16 -3C/T) [48, 53, 55], rs1799859 (R1273R) [48, 55], and 1 SNP located in TCF7L2: rs7903146 (intron 4) [58-60], were significantly associated with responses to sulfonylureas. It is noteworthy that no uniform definition of response to sulfonylurea therapy was used across these 17 independent studies. Javorsky et al. (2012) [50] defined response to sulfonylurea as change in HbA1c level to sulfonylurea at 6-month therapy. Feng et al. (2008) [46] defined response to sulfonylurea as percent decrease in FPG and also FPG at day 57 as < 7.8 mmol/l as well as percent decrease in HbA1c after 8-week sulfonylurea therapy, and Holstein et al. (2009) [47] defined this drug response phenotype as sulfonylurea-induced hypoglycemia, which refers to a symptomatic event requiring treatment with intravenous glucose that was confirmed by a blood glucose measurement of <50 mg/dl. Meirhaeghe et al. (2001) [53] defined response to sulfonylurea as post-treatment fasting insulin, FPG, fasting plasma total cholesterol, and fasting plasma triglyceride concentrations, and Schroner et al. (2011) [59] defined this drug response phenotype as change of HbA1c (%) and changes of FPG for 3-month treatment and 6-month treatment, respectively. Pearson et al. (2007) [58] defined response to sulfonylurea as failure to reach a target HbA1c < 7% within 1-year treatment and minimum HbA1c achieved within 1-year treatment, and they also considered time taken on sulfonylurea treatment to achieve target HbA1c < 7% as a drug response phenotype. In addition, Sesti et al. (2006) [45] defined secondary sulfonylurea failure as FPG greater than 300 mg/dl despite sulfonylurea-metformin combined therapy and appropriate diet, in the absence of other conditions causing hyperglycemia, but Holstein et al. (2011) [60] defined secondary sulfonylurea failure as the addition of insulin after at least 6-month sulfonylurea therapy and corresponding HbA1c \geq 7%. In the following, we first summarize major results of SNPs' effects on sulfonylurea responses in a gene-by-gene manner, and then, we present functional prediction results for nsSNPs and non-coding SNPs by respective online bioinformatics tools.

KCNJ11

The most widely studied genetic polymorphism of *KCNJ11* for sulfonylurea response is E23K (i.e., rs5219) located in exon 1 [33]. However, functional effects of *KCNJ11* E23K polymorphism on the secretion and sensitivity of insulin in humans remain contentious [5]. Recent larger studies demonstrated that a significant reduction of insulin secretion, lower levels of insulin, and an improvement of insulin sensitivity were related to E23K variant in *KCNJ11* gene [61]. Moreover, E23K variant was associated with T2D development, which means that the K allele carriers had an

increased risk of T2D [44, 62, 63]. Furthermore, some studies also found that the K allele carriers had better therapeutic response to gliclazide in comparison with the EE homozygous wild-type group [50], as well as an increased risk of sulfonylurea treatment failure [45, 49]. In addition, E23K variant was significantly associated with an increase of glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) level [47] and fasting glucose level that patients with the KK homozygous variant genotype had lower fasting glucose levels than those with the EE/EK heterozygous genotype [52]. Importantly, recent evidence demonstrated that patients with KCNJ11 variants responded more efficiently to sulfonylurea than insulin [64-66]. Another KCNJ11 polymorphism that was associated with sulfonylurea treatment responses is rs5210 which is located in 3'- untranslated region (UTR). A study conducted in two independent cohorts of Chinese T2D patients (cohort 1: n = 661, cohort 2: n = 607) treated with gliclazide demonstrated that KCNJ11 rs5210 was positively associated with gliclazide response in cohort 1 study [46].

ABCC8

The most widely studied genetic polymorphism of ABCC8 for sulfonylurea response is S1369A (i.e., rs757110) located in exon 33 [67]. This genetic variant was demonstrated to influence antidiabetic efficacy of sulfonylurea treatment in Chinese [46, 56], as well as an increased sensitivity to gliclazide [56]. More importantly, KCNJ11 E23K and ABCC8 S1369A, two common K_{ATP} channel mutations that were in strong linkage disequilibrium, form a haplotype that appears to be associated with an increased T2D risk [68]. Additional ABCC8 gene polymorphisms including rs1799854 (intron 15, exon 16 -3C/T) and rs1799859 (exon 31) had been shown to be associated with sulfonylurea treatment efficacy in Caucasians [48, 55].

TCF7L2

Previous studies have shown that several non-coding genetic variants of TCF7L2 are associated with T2D risk in populations of diverse ancestries from countries encompassing United Kingdom [69], the Netherlands [70], Finland [32], Sweden [71], France [72], United States [73], India [74], and Japan [75] populations. Among these T2Dassociated TCF7L2 variants, rs7903146 (intron 4) showed the strongest association with T2D [76]. Significant reductions in HbA1c and fasting plasma glucose levels following a combined sulfonylurea and metformin treatment between T2D patients with CC genotype and those with CT/TT genotype were associated with TCF7L2 rs7903146 variant allele [59]. Moreover, the rs12255372 variant, together with the rs7903146 variant, was shown to be associated with a significantly more frequent treatment failure [58–60]. It shall be noted that although in previous literatures, e.g., as in [32, 77], TCF7L2 rs7901695 and rs7903146 are indicated to be in intron 3, and rs7895340, rs11196205 and rs12255372 are indicated to be in intron 4, this is because exon 4, which is a variable exon, is often named as "3a" [78]. Because of a high incorporation in pancreatic β cells [79], exon 4 shall be included in the gene structure, such that rs7901695 and rs7903146 shall be indicated as located in intron 4, and rs7895340, rs11196205, and rs12255372 in intron 5, respectively, e.g., as in [80]. For the linear ordering of these 5 non-coding SNPs, according to the most updated (i.e., as of April 18, 2017) NCBI dbSNP, the chromosomal coordinates for rs7901695, rs7903146, rs7895340, rs11196205 and rs12255372 are 112994329, 112998590, 113041766, 113047288, and 113 049143, respectively, on human chromosome 10 based on GRCh38.p7 assembly. Therefore, the linear ordering shall be rs7901695-rs7903146-rs7895340-rs11196205-rs1225537 2, as shown in Fig. 4 (all drawings in Figs. 1, 2, 3, and 4 are not to their exact scales and are for illustration purposes), which is agreement with that of [77].

In silico bioinformatics analysis results

For *KCNJ11, ABCC8* and *TCF7L2* genes, functional prediction results for 36 nsSNPs by SIFT, PolyPhen-2, PAN-THER, MutPred, and SNPs3D were presented in Table 2, and those prediction results for 7 non-coding SNPs by HaploReg v4.1, RegulomeDB and Ensembl's VEP were presented in Table 3.

Analysis of functional effects of SNPs by SIFT SIFT was used to predict the functional impact of an nsSNP on a protein molecule. An nsSNP with a SIFT score ≤ 0.05 is considered as having a deleterious effect on protein function [81]. A total of 22 nsSNPs were predicted to affect protein function (SIFT score range: 0.00-0.03) including 4 *KCNJ11* missense SNPs (R192H, R201H, E227K, S385C), 16 *ABCC8* missense SNPs (G7R, N24K, F27S, R74W, E128K, V187D, R495Q, E501K, L503P, F686S, L1349Q, S1386F, L1389P, R1420C, I1424V, D1471H), and 2 *TCF7L2* missense SNPs (P179H, K323N), whereas the remaining 14 missense SNPs were predicted to be tolerated (SIFT score range: 0.12–1.00) (Table 2).

Analysis of functional effects of nsSNPs by PolyPhen-2 PolyPhen-2 calculates a naïve Bayes posterior probability for a given mutation that it will be benign (PolyPhen-2 score < 0.15), possibly damaging (PolyPhen-2 score is greater than or equal to 0.15 but is less than 0.85), or probably damaging (PolyPhen-2 score \geq 0.85), respectively [82]. A total of 25 nsSNPs were predicted to be probably damaging to protein function (PolyPhen-2 score range: 0.877–1.000), which includes 5 *KCNJ11* missense SNPs (V59M, 1182V, R192H, R201H, E227K), 18 *ABCC8* missense SNPs (G7R, N24K, F27S, R74W, A116P, E128K, F132L, R495Q, E501K, L503P, F686S, G716V, L1349Q, S1386F, L1389P, R1420C, I1424V, D1471H) and 2 *TCF7L2* missense SNPs (P179H, K323N), and the remaining 11 SNPs were classified as benign (PolyPhen-2 score range: 0.000–0.402) (Table 2).

Analysis of functional effects of nsSNPs by PANTHER

PANTHER characterizes likely functional effect of amino acid variation by means of a hidden Markov model-based statistical modeling and evolutionary relationship. The SNP with subSPEC score ≤ -3 is considered as intolerant or deleterious, whereas SNP with subSPEC score > -3 is classified to be less deleterious [83]. A total of 14 amino acid substitutions were classified as intolerant (subSPEC score range: from–8.97797 to–3.12006) including 3 *KCNJ11* missense SNPs (R27H, R192H, E227K), 9 *ABCC8* missense SNPs (L213R, R495Q, L503P, F686S, G716V, L1349Q, S1386F, L1389P, D1471H) and 2 *TCF7L2* missense SNPs (P179H, K323N), another 10 amino acid substitutions were classified as tolerated (subSPEC score range: from–2.72126 to–0.69172), and the remaining 12 amino acid substitutions did not have subSPEC scores (Table 2).

Analysis of functional effects of nsSNPs by MutPred MutPred predicts molecular causes of disease or deleterious amino acid substitution. A total of 30 nsSNPs had *p*-values > 0.5, which were considered to be functional [84] (MutPred P_{deleterious} range: 0.566-0.981), which included 6 *KCNJ11* missense SNPs (V59M, I182V, R192H, R201H, E227K, L270V), 23 *ABCC8* missense SNPs (G7R, N24K, F27S, N72S, R74W, A116P, E128K, F132L, V187D, L213R, E382K, R495Q, E501K, L503P, F686S, G716V, K1336N, L1349Q, S1386F, L1389P, R1420C, I1424V, D1471H) and 2 *TCF7L2* missense SNPs (P179H, K323N) (Table 2).

Analysis of functional consequences of SNPs by SNPs3D SNPs3D assigns molecular functional effects of nsSNPs based on structure and sequence analysis. Of the 36 nsSNPs, SNPs3D SVM score was available for only 7 nsSNPs (*KCNJ11*: 2, *ABCC8*: 3, and *TCF7L2*: 2). Of them, two nsSNPs, i.e., R1420C amino acid substitution of *ABCC8* gene and K323N amino acid substitution of *TCF7L2* gene, had SVM scores < 0, which were classified as deleterious substitutions [85] (Table 2).

Analysis of functional consequences of SNPs by HaploReg v4.1 HaploReg v4.1 is an online software for exploring annotations of the non-coding genome among those results of published genome-wide association studies or new sets of genetic variants, which help researchers to integrate DNA regulatory elements data with genetic variants to quickly formulate novel biological hypotheses [40, 41]. As predicted by HaploReg v4.1, rs1799854, rs7895340, rs7903146, rs11196205 and rs12255372 could change 4, 2 (i.e., Irf and PRDM1), 7, 1 (i.e., SMC3), and 5 DNA motifs

pu	
о Т	
Pre	
Aut	
< c`	
Ē	
Ę	
РА	
-2'	
her	
JУР	
Ро	
Ę	
y SI	
t D	
Jen	
atm	
tre	
eas	
ure	
l Á	
alfo	
of SI	
S	
etic	
Jen	
- Oi	
ma	
narı	
à	
the	
.⊆	
٨Ps	
S	
no	
ž	
noi	
syn	
-uo	
Ú Q	
Ē	
S	
ect	
eff	
nal	
CT O	
n	
d f	
icte	
fedi	
id c	
ilicc	
'n Si	
2	*
ble	253
Tal	SN

SNPs3D*	-					1					
SNP ID	Gene Symbol	SNP Name	dbSNP ID	SNP Location	Chromosome Location (GRCh38.p7)	SIFT Score/ Prediction	PolyPhen-2 Score/Prediction	PANTHER subSPEC	PANTHER P _{deleterious}	MutPred P _{deleterious}	SNPs3D Score
SNP1	KCNJ11	E23K	rs5219	Exon 1	11:17388025	1.00/Tolerated	0.001/Benign	-0.69172	0.09044	0.35	2
SNP2	KCNJ11	R27H	NA	Exon 1	NA	0.18/Tolerated	0.006/Benign	-3.75303	0.67984	0.248	AN
SNP3	KCNJ11	V59M	NA	Exon 1	NA	0.12/Tolerated	0.999/Probably damaging	-2.72126	0.43076	0.855	AA
SNP4	KCNJ11	1182V	NA	Exon 1	NA	0.98/Tolerated	0.998/Probably damaging	-1.62168	0.20128	0.684	AN
SNP5	KCNJ11	R192H	ΥN	Exon 1	ЧA	0.01/Affect Protein Function	1.000/Probably damaging	-6.9765	0.98159	0.816	AN
SNP6	KCNJ11	R201H	rs80356624	Exon 1	11:17387490	0.00/Affect Protein Function	1.000/Probably damaging	NA	NA	0.981	AN
SNP7	KCNJ11	E227K	ΥN	Exon 1	Ч	0.00/Affect Protein Function	1.000/Probably damaging	-7.17583	0.98487	0.94	AN
SNP8	KCNJ11	L270V	rs1800467	Exon 1	11:17387284	0.13/Tolerated	0.003/Benign	-1.54301	0.18893	0.566	0.68
SNP9	KCNJ11	1337V	rs5215	Exon 1	11:17387083	0.73/Tolerated	0.000/Benign	-0.89045	0.10817	0.462	0.94
SNP10	KCNJ11	S385C	rs41282930	NA	11:17386938	0.02/Affect Protein Function	0.380/Possibly damaging	NA	NA	0.229	AN
SNP11	ABCC8	G7R	AN	Exon 1	NA	0.00/Affect Protein Function	1.000/Probably damaging	NA	NA	0.863	AN
SNP12	ABCC8	N24K	Υ	Exon 1	NА	0.03/Affect Protein Function	1.000/Probably damaging	NA	NA	0.877	AN
SNP13	ABCC8	F27S	Υ	Exon 1	NA	0.00/Affect Protein Function	0.884/Probably damaging	NA	NA	0.858	AN
SNP14	ABCC8	N72S	rs80356634	Exon 2	11:17474961	0.12/Tolerated	0.402/Possibly damaging	NA	NA	0.802	ΝA
SNP15	ABCC8	R74W	Ϋ́	Exon 2	NА	0.00/Affect Protein Function	1.000/Probably damaging	NA	NA	0.904	AN
SNP16	ABCC8	A116P	NA	NA	NA	0.12/Tolerated	1.000/Probably damaging	NA	NA	0.825	ΑN
SNP17	ABCC8	E128K	Ϋ́	Exon 3	ЧA	0.02/Affect Protein Function	1.000/Probably damaging	NA	NA	0.829	AN
SNP18	ABCC8	F132L	rs80356637	Exon 3	11:17470119	0.16/Tolerated	0.877/Possibly damaging	NA	NA	0.847	ΝA
SNP19	ABCC8	V187D	ΥN	Exon 4	ЧA	0.01/Affect Protein Function	0.042/Benign	NA	NA	0.857	NA
SNP20	ABCC8	L213R	rs80356642	Exon 5	11:17461767	0.41/Tolerated	0.212/Possibly damaging	-3.12006	0.52998	0.786	ΝA
SNP21	ABCC8	E382K	rs80356651	NA	11:17453151	0.27/Tolerated	0.392/Possibly damaging	-1.96296	0.26172	0.872	AN
SNP22	ABCC8	R495Q	ΥN	Exon 10	NA	0.00/Affect Protein Function	1.000/Probably damaging	-8.28432	0.99496	0.906	NA
SNP23	ABCC8	E501K	NA	Exon 10	AN	0.00/Affect Protein Function	1.000/Probably damaging	-2.39817	0.35392	0.948	AN

рц	
д	
Prec	
٨ut	
Z Z	
Ë	
AN'	
d. O	
-u-	
ĥ	
oly	
Ľ.	
SIF	
b D	
lent	
atm	
tre	
eas	
/lur	
QÛ	
sulf	
of	
tics	
ene	
go	
ma	
har	
e D	
th	
'i S	
SNF	
SN	
, mc	
QUO	
syn	
-uo	
Ū Q	
of 3	
cts (
ffec	
ale	
ion	
nuc	
d fl	
cte	
redi	(p
d o	inue
silia	onti
Ц	Ű *
e 2	33D
abl	NPS
F	\sim

SNPs3D	* (Continued)			× ×	-)	、 、				
SNP24	ABCC8	L503P	NA	Exon 10	NA	0.00/Affect Protein Function	1.000/Probably damaging	-4.69708	0.84515	0.964	AN
SNP25	ABCC8	F686S	ЧЧ	Exon 15	NA	0.01/Affect Protein Function	0.998/Probably damaging	-4.1174	0.75351	606.0	AN
SNP26	ABCC8	G716V	rs72559723	Exon 16	11:17427124	0.18/Tolerated	1.000/Probably damaging	-8.97797	0.99747	0.974	NA
SNP27	ABCC8	K1336N	NA	NA	NA	0.25/Tolerated	0.016/Benign	-1.84974	0.24044	0.693	NA
SNP28	ABCC8	L1349Q	ЧЧ	Exon 33	NA	0.01/Affect Protein Function	0.997/Probably damaging	-4.16681	0.76257	0.912	AN
SNP29	ABCC8	A1369S	rs757110	Exon 33	11:17396930	0.51/Tolerated	0.000/Benign	-0.85285	0.1046	0.323	0.87
SNP30	ABCC8	S1386F	ΑN	Exon 34	NA	0.00/Affect Protein Function	1.000/Probably damaging	-4.60703	0.833	0.95	AN
SNP31	ABCC8	L1389P	Ч	Exon 34	NA	0.00/Affect Protein Function	0.993/Probably damaging	-4.93634	0.87395	0.886	NA
SNP32	ABCC8	R1420C	rs28938469	Exon 35	11:17395659	0.00/Affect Protein Function	1.000/Probably damaging	NA	NA	0.863	-1.19
SNP33	ABCC8	l1424V	rs80356653	Exon 35	11:17395647	0.00/Affect Protein Function	0.988/Probably damaging	-2.02622	0.27413	0.882	AN
SNP34	ABCC8	D1471H	ЧЧ	Exon 36	NA	0.00/Affect Protein Function	0.994/Probably damaging	-4.60764	0.83308	0.913	AN
SNP35	TCF7L2	P179H	rs3197486	ЧA	10:113141236	0.00/Affect Protein Function	1.000/Probably damaging	-5.62868	0.93268	0.879	0.01
SNP36	TCF7L2	K323N	rs2757884	ЧA	10:113151761	0.00/Affect Protein Function	1.000/Probably damaging	-4.23841	0.77529	0.309	-0.15
*Abbreviat	ions: MutPred Muta	ition Prediction, P_i titution Position-s	ANTHER, Protein A specific Evolutiona	Nalysis THrough Ev ry Conservation, <i>N</i>	/olutionary Relationsh A Not Available	iips, <i>PolyPhen-2</i> Polymorp	nism Phenotyping v2, SIFT Sortin	g Intolerant fro	om Tolerant, SNP	Single Nucleo	tide

	-		,				
SNP ID	Gene Symbol	dbSNP ID	SNP Location	Chromosome Location (GRCh38,p7)	HaploReg v4.1 Motifs changed by SNP	RegulomeDB Score/Prediction	Ensembl's VEP
SNP37	KCNJ11	rs5210	3'-UTR	11:17386704	None	4/Minimal binding evidence	NA
SNP38	ABCC8	rs1799854	Intron 15	11:17427157	4 altered motifs	5/Minimal binding evidence	NA
SNP39	TCF7L2	rs7895340	Intron 5	10:113041766	Irf, PRDM1	NA	NA
SNP40	TCF7L2	rs7901695	Intron 4	10:112994329	None	5/Minimal binding evidence	NA
SNP41	TCF7L2	rs7903146	Intron 4	10:112998590	7 altered motifs	5/Minimal binding evidence	Risk factor
SNP42	TCF7L2	rs11196205	Intron 5	10:113047288	SMC3	5/Minimal binding evidence	Risk factor
SNP43	TCF7L2	rs12255372	Intron 5	10:113049143	5 altered motifs	NA	Risk factor
*Abbreviat	ions: RegulomeDB Regulom	ie Database, SNP Single	Nucleotide Polymorphisr	m, UTR Untranslated Region, VEP Va	riant Effect Predictor, NA Not Availak	le	

for DNA-binding proteins, and could have regulatory effects on gene transcription. Neither rs5210 nor rs7901695 appear to change known motifs (Table 3).

Analysis of functional consequences of SNPs by **RegulomeDB** RegulomeDB is a database that annotates SNPs with known and predicted regulatory elements in the intergenic regions of the human genome. Of the 7 noncoding SNPs, rs5210, rs1799854, rs7901695, rs7903146, and rs11196205 had RegulomeDB scores of 4, 5, 5, 5, and 5, respectively, which were all classified as having minimal binding evidence. Predictions were not available for either rs7895340 or rs12255372 (Table 3).

Analysis of functional consequences of SNPs by Ensembl's VEP The Ensembl's VEP determines the effects of genetic variants on genes, transcripts, and protein sequences, as well as regulatory regions. Three non-coding SNPs of *TCF7L2* gene, i.e., rs7903146, rs11196205 and rs12255372, were predicted as risk factors (Table 3).

Discussion

Sulfonylureas are a class of drugs that stimulates insulin secretion by closing K_{ATP} channels in pancreatic β cells. It has been estimated that 10-20% of individuals treated do not attain adequate glycemic control, and 5-10% initially responding to sulfonylurea subsequently lose the ability to maintain near-normal glycemic level [86]. This implies that genetic factors are linked with treatment efficacy of sulfonylureas. In our study, that includes 17 studies, two KCNJ11 SNPs - rs5219 (E23K) (exon 1) and rs5210 (3'-UTR), three ABCC8 SNPs — rs757110 (A1369S) (exon 33), rs1799854 (intron 15, exon 16 -3C/T), rs1799859 (R1273R) (exon 31), and two TCF7L2 SNPs rs7903146 (intron 4) and rs12255372 (intron 5) have been associated with response to sulfonylureas. Based on bioinformatics predictions for 36 selected coding SNPs (all are missense) for KCNJ11, ABCC8, and TCF7L2, by applying a set of computational tools - SIFT, PolyPhen-2, PANTHER, MutPred, and SNPs3D. Our bioinformatics prediction results demonstrated that 8 KCNJ11 missense SNPs (R27H, V59M, I182V, R192H, R201H, E227K, L270V, and S385C), 23 ABCC8 missense SNPs (G7R, N24K, F27S, N72S, R74W, A116P, E128K, F132L, V187D, L213R, E382K, R495Q, E501K, L503P, F686S, G716V, K1336N, L1349Q, S1386F, L1389P, R1420C, I1424V, D1471H), and 2 TCF7L2 missense SNPs (P179H, K323N) could affect protein functions with SIFT score ≤ 0.05 , or PolyPhen-2 score ≥ 0.85 , or PAN-THER subSPEC score ≤ -3 , or MutPred > 0.5, or SNPs3D score < 0. Of them, previous studies showed that mutant alleles of 4 KCNJ11 missense SNPs (R27H, V59M, R192H, and R201H) and 5 ABCC8 missense SNPs (G7R, N24K, F27S, R74W, and E128K) can be successfully rescued by sulfonylurea treatments. In addition, 3 TCF7L2 non-coding SNPs — rs7903146, rs11196205 and rs12255372 were predicted as risk factor based on Ensembl's VEP, although their functional impacts in sulfonylurea results need to be elucidated by further experimental studies.

Conclusion

The ultimate goal of pharmacogenetics is the development of personalized medicine through individual genetic profiles which would accurately predict which individuals with a specific medical condition would respond to a specific medical therapy. Traditional medicine refers to the broad application of "standard of care" or "one-size-fits-all" treatments to all patients with a given diagnosis. In contrast, personalized medicine, often described as providing "the right drug for the right patient at the right dose and time" [87], tailors medical treatment according to each patient's personal history, genetic profile and/or specific biomarkers [88, 89], Therefore, the full application of personalized medicine in health care will require significant changes in regulatory and reimbursement policies as well as legislative protections for privacy. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration has updated the labels of more than 120 drugs with recommendations for genetic testing prior to their use [90]. Currently, most genetic testing is based genotypic effects. Haplotypes of multiple linked genetic variants provide more precise information of their functional impacts than individual genetic markers [91, 92], which could also be potentially important for diagnosis and prognosis [93]. In future, regulatory authorities shall formulate clear guidelines for evaluating and approving personalized diagnostics and therapeutics and identify patients who can benefit from them.

Abbreviations

ABCC8: ATP Binding Cassette Subfamily C Member 8; ADP: Adenosine diphosphate; ATP: Adenosine triphosphate; cAMP: Cyclic adenosine monophosphate; CL: cytosolic loop; dbSNP: Single Nucleotide Polymorphism database; EMBASE: Excerpta Medica Database; GLP-1: Glucagon-like peptide-1; HbA1c: Glycated hemoglobin A1c; Go-DARTS: Genetics of Diabetes Audit and Research Study in Tayside Scotland; KATP: ATP-sensitive K⁺ channel; KCNJ11: Potassium channel, inwardly rectifying subfamily J, member 11; Kir: Inwardly rectifying K(+); LEF: Lymphocyte enhancer factor; MutPred: Mutation prediction; NBD: nucleotide binding domain; NCBI: National Center for Biotechnology Information; nsSNP: Non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphism; PANTHER: Protein analysis through evolutionary relationships; PKA: Protein kinase A; PolyPhen-2: Polymorphism phenotyping v2; RCT: Randomized clinical trial; RegulomeDB: Regulome database; SIFT: Sorting intolerant from tolerant; SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism; SU: Sulfonylurea; subSPEC: subStitution Position-specific Evolutionary Conservation; SUR: Sulfonylurea receptor; T2D: Type 2 diabetes; TCF: T-cell factor; TCF7L2: T-cell factor 7-like 2; TMD: transmembrane domain; UKPDS: United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study; UTR: Untranslated region; VEP: Variant effect predictor; WHO-MONICA: World Health Organization-Multinational MONItoring of trends and determinants of CArdiovascular diseases; Wnt: Wingless type

Acknowledgements

Dr. Yu-Ping Wang and Dr. Tianhua Niu are supported in part by NIH 1R01GM109068-01A1. Dr. Tianhua Niu is also supported in part by a start-up fund of the Center for Bioinformatics and Genomics, Tulane University. Dr. Franck Mauvais-Jarvis is supported by NIH R01 DK074970, the American Diabetes Association (7-13-BS-101), and the Price-Goldsmith Endowed Chair in Nutrition.

Funding

Funded in part by NIH 1R01GM109068-01A1.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

TN conceived the idea for the project. TN and JS contributed to study design and conception. JS, YY and TN participated in data analysis and interpretation. JS, YY and TN drafted the manuscript. FMJ and YW revised it critically for intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Global Biostatistics and Data Science, Tulane University School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA. ²Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, Tulane University Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA. ³Department of Biomedical Engineering, Tulane University School of Science and Engineering, New Orleans, LA 70118, USA.

Received: 16 June 2016 Accepted: 11 May 2017 Published online: 06 June 2017

References

- 1. Federation ID. IDF Diabetes Atlas. Fourthth ed. 2009.
- Cade WT. Diabetes-related microvascular and macrovascular diseases in the physical therapy setting. Phys Ther. 2008;88(11):1322–35.
- Ripsin CM, Kang H, Urban RJ. Management of blood glucose in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Am Fam Physician. 2009;79(1):29–36.
- Riserus U, Willett WC, Hu FB. Dietary fats and prevention of type 2 diabetes. Prog Lipid Res. 2009;48(1):44–51.
- Semiz S, Dujic T, Causevic A. Pharmacogenetics and personalized treatment of type 2 diabetes. Biochem Med (Zagreb). 2013;23(2):154–71.
- Pearson ER. Pharmacogenetics and future strategies in treating hyperglycaemia in diabetes. Front Biosci. 2009;14:4348–62.
- Aguilar-Bryan L, Nichols CG, Wechsler SW, Clement JP, Boyd 3rd AE, Gonzalez G, Herrera-Sosa H, Nguy K, Bryan J, Nelson DA. Cloning of the beta cell high-affinity sulfonylurea receptor: a regulator of insulin secretion. Science. 1995;268(5209):423–6.
- Inagaki N, Gonoi T, Clement JP, Namba N, Inazawa J, Gonzalez G, Aguilar-Bryan L, Seino S, Bryan J. Reconstitution of IKATP: an inward rectifier subunit plus the sulfonylurea receptor. Science. 1995;270(5239):1166–70.
- Sakura H, Ammala C, Smith PA, Gribble FM, Ashcroft FM. Cloning and functional expression of the cDNA encoding a novel ATP-sensitive potassium channel subunit expressed in pancreatic beta-cells, brain, heart and skeletal muscle. FEBS Lett. 1995;377(3):338–44.
- Jahnavi S, Poovazhagi V, Kanthimathi S, Balamurugan K, Bodhini D, Yadav J, Jain V, Khadgawat R, Sikdar M, Bhavatharini A, et al. Novel ABCC8 (SUR1) gene mutations in Asian Indian children with congenital hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia. Ann Hum Genet. 2014;78(5):311–9.
- Bonfanti DH, Alcazar LP, Arakaki PA, Martins LT, Agustini BC, de Moraes Rego FG, Frigeri HR. ATP-dependent potassium channels and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clin Biochem. 2015;48(7–8):476–82.
- Schwanstecher C, Meyer U, Schwanstecher M. K(IR)6.2 polymorphism predisposes to type 2 diabetes by inducing overactivity of pancreatic betacell ATP-sensitive K(+) channels. Diabetes. 2002;51(3):875–9.

- Riedel MJ, Boora P, Steckley D, de Vries G, Light PE. Kir6.2 polymorphisms sensitize beta-cell ATP-sensitive potassium channels to activation by acyl CoAs: a possible cellular mechanism for increased susceptibility to type 2 diabetes? Diabetes. 2003;52(10):2630–5.
- 14. Ashcroft FM, Rorsman P. K(ATP) channels and islet hormone secretion: new insights and controversies. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2013;9(11):660–9.
- 15. Jin T, Liu L. The Wnt signaling pathway effector TCF7L2 and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Mol Endocrinol. 2008;22(11):2383–92.
- Ip W, Chiang YT, Jin T. The involvement of the wnt signaling pathway and TCF7L2 in diabetes mellitus: The current understanding, dispute, and perspective. Cell Biosci. 2012;2(1):28.
- 17. MacDonald BT, Tamai K, He X. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling: components, mechanisms, and diseases. Dev Cell. 2009;17(1):9–26.
- Liu Z, Habener JF. Glucagon-like peptide-1 activation of TCF7L2-dependent Wnt signaling enhances pancreatic beta cell proliferation. J Biol Chem. 2008;283(13):8723–35.
- Jin T, George Fantus I, Sun J. Wnt and beyond Wnt: multiple mechanisms control the transcriptional property of beta-catenin. Cell Signal. 2008;20(10):1697–704.
- Yi F, Brubaker PL, Jin T. TCF-4 mediates cell type-specific regulation of proglucagon gene expression by beta-catenin and glycogen synthase kinase-3beta. J Biol Chem. 2005;280(2):1457–64.
- 21. DeFronzo RA. Pharmacologic therapy for type 2 diabetes mellitus. Ann Intern Med. 1999;131(4):281–303.
- Zammitt NN, Frier BM. Hypoglycemia in type 2 diabetes: pathophysiology, frequency, and effects of different treatment modalities. Diabetes Care. 2005;28(12):2948–61.
- Gangji AS, Cukierman T, Gerstein HC, Goldsmith CH, Clase CM. A systematic review and meta-analysis of hypoglycemia and cardiovascular events: a comparison of glyburide with other secretagogues and with insulin. Diabetes Care. 2007;30(2):389–94.
- Kalra S, Mukherjee JJ, Venkataraman S, Bantwal G, Shaikh S, Saboo B, Das AK, Ramachandran A. Hypoglycemia: The neglected complication. Indian J Endocr Metab. 2013;17(5):819–34.
- Capener CE, Shrivastava IH, Ranatunga KM, Forrest LR, Smith GR, Sansom MS. Homology modeling and molecular dynamics simulation studies of an inward rectifier potassium channel. Biophys J. 2000;78(6):2929–42.
- Haghvirdizadeh P, Mohamed Z, Abdullah NA, Haghvirdizadeh P, Haerian MS, Haerian BS. KCNJ11: Genetic Polymorphisms and Risk of Diabetes Mellitus. J Diabetes Res. 2015;2015:908152.
- Mikhailov MV, Mikhailova EA, Ashcroft SJ. Molecular structure of the glibenclamide binding site of the beta-cell K(ATP) channel. FEBS Lett. 2001;499(1–2):154–60.
- Park JS, Lee HJ, Park CH. A novel mutation of ABCC8 gene in a patient with diazoxide-unresponsive congenital hyperinsulinism. Korean J Pediatr. 2016;59(Suppl 1):S116–20.
- Mondal AK, Das SK, Baldini G, Chu WS, Sharma NK, Hackney OG, Zhao J, Grant SF, Elbein SC. Genotype and tissue-specific effects on alternative splicing of the transcription factor 7-like 2 gene in humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;95(3):1450–7.
- Hansson O, Zhou Y, Renstrom E, Osmark P. Molecular function of TCF7L2: Consequences of TCF7L2 splicing for molecular function and risk for type 2 diabetes. Curr Diab Rep. 2010;10(6):444–51.
- Haddad SA, Palmer JR, Lunetta KL, Ng MC, Consortium M, Ruiz-Narvaez EA. A novel TCF7L2 type 2 diabetes SNP identified from fine mapping in African American women. PLoS One. 2017;12(3):e0172577.
- Scott LJ, Bonnycastle LL, Willer CJ, Sprau AG, Jackson AU, Narisu N, Duren WL, Chines PS, Stringham HM, Erdos MR, et al. Association of transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) variants with type 2 diabetes in a Finnish sample. Diabetes. 2006;55(9):2649–53.
- Aquilante CL. Sulfonylurea pharmacogenomics in Type 2 diabetes: the influence of drug target and diabetes risk polymorphisms. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther. 2010;8(3):359–72.
- Maruthur NM, Gribble MO, Bennett WL, Bolen S, Wilson LM, Balakrishnan P, Sahu A, Bass E, Kao WH, Clark JM. The pharmacogenetics of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. Diabetes Care. 2014;37(3):876–86.
- Ng PC, Henikoff S. Predicting deleterious amino acid substitutions. Genome Res. 2001;11(5):863–74.
- Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, Kondrashov AS, Sunyaev SR. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nat Methods. 2010;7(4):248–9.

- Thomas PD, Campbell MJ, Kejariwal A, Mi H, Karlak B, Daverman R, Diemer K, Muruganujan A, Narechania A. PANTHER: a library of protein families and subfamilies indexed by function. Genome Res. 2003;13(9):2129–41.
- Li B, Krishnan VG, Mort ME, Xin F, Kamati KK, Cooper DN, Mooney SD, Radivojac P. Automated inference of molecular mechanisms of disease from amino acid substitutions. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(21):2744–50.
- 39. Yue P, Melamud E, Moult J. SNPs3D: candidate gene and SNP selection for association studies. BMC Bioinformatics. 2006;7:166.
- Ward LD, Kellis M. HaploReg: a resource for exploring chromatin states, conservation, and regulatory motif alterations within sets of genetically linked variants. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40(Database issue):D930–934.
- Ward LD, Kellis M. HaploReg v4: systematic mining of putative causal variants, cell types, regulators and target genes for human complex traits and disease. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44(D1):D877–881.
- Boyle AP, Hong EL, Hariharan M, Cheng Y, Schaub MA, Kasowski M, Karczewski KJ, Park J, Hitz BC, Weng S, et al. Annotation of functional variation in personal genomes using RegulomeDB. Genome Res. 2012;22(9):1790–7.
- McLaren W, Pritchard B, Rios D, Chen Y, Flicek P, Cunningham F. Deriving the consequences of genomic variants with the Ensembl API and SNP Effect Predictor. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(16):2069–70.
- 44. Gloyn AL, Hashim Y, Ashcroft SJ, Ashfield R, Wiltshire S, Turner RC, Study UKPD. Association studies of variants in promoter and coding regions of beta-cell ATP-sensitive K-channel genes SUR1 and Kir6.2 with Type 2 diabetes mellitus (UKPDS 53). Diabet Med. 2001;18(3):206–12.
- 45. Sesti G, Laratta E, Cardellini M, Andreozzi F, Del Guerra S, Irace C, Gnasso A, Grupillo M, Lauro R, Hribal ML, et al. The E23K variant of KCNJ11 encoding the pancreatic beta-cell adenosine 5'-triphosphate-sensitive potassium channel subunit Kir6.2 is associated with an increased risk of secondary failure to sulfonylurea in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2006;91(6):2334–9.
- 46. Feng Y, Mao G, Ren X, Xing H, Tang G, Li Q, Li X, Sun L, Yang J, Ma W, et al. Ser1369Ala variant in sulfonylurea receptor gene ABCC8 is associated with antidiabetic efficacy of gliclazide in Chinese type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes Care. 2008;31(10):1939–44.
- Holstein A, Hahn M, Stumvoll M, Kovacs P. The E23K variant of KCNJ11 and the risk for severe sulfonylurea-induced hypoglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. Horm Metab Res. 2009;41(5):387–90.
- Nikolac N, Simundic AM, Katalinic D, Topic E, Cipak A, Zjacic Rotkvic V. Metabolic control in type 2 diabetes is associated with sulfonylurea receptor-1 (SUR-1) but not with KCNJ11 polymorphisms. Arch Med Res. 2009;40(5):387–92.
- El-Sisi AE, Hegazy SK, Metwally SS, Wafa AM, Dawood NA. Effect of genetic polymorphisms on the development of secondary failure to sulfonylurea in egyptian patients with type 2 diabetes. Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab. 2011;2(4):155–64.
- Javorsky M, Klimcakova L, Schroner Z, Zidzik J, Babjakova E, Fabianova M, Kozarova M, Tkacova R, Salagovic J, Tkac I. KCNJ11 gene E23K variant and therapeutic response to sulfonylureas. Eur J Intern Med. 2012;23(3):245–9.
- Ragia G, Tavridou A, Petridis I, Manolopoulos VG. Association of KCNJ11 E23K gene polymorphism with hypoglycemia in sulfonylurea-treated type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2012;98(1):119–24.
- Li Q, Chen M, Zhang R, Jiang F, Wang J, Zhou J, Bao Y, Hu C, Jia W. KCNJ11 E23K variant is associated with the therapeutic effect of sulphonylureas in Chinese type 2 diabetic patients. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. 2014;41(10):748–54.
- 53. Meirhaeghe A, Helbecque N, Cottel D, Arveiler D, Ruidavets JB, Haas B, Ferrieres J, Tauber JP, Bingham A, Amouyel P. Impact of sulfonylurea receptor 1 genetic variability on non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus prevalence and treatment: a population study. Am J Med Genet. 2001;101(1):4–8.
- Zychma MJ, Gumprecht J, Strojek K, Grzeszczak W, Moczulski D, Trautsolt W, Karasek D. Sulfonylurea receptor gene 16–3 polymorphism - association with sulfonylurea or insulin treatment in type 2 diabetic subjects. Med Sci Monit. 2002;8(7):CR512–515.
- Nikolac N, Simundic AM, Saracevic A, Katalinic D. ABCC8 polymorphisms are associated with triglyceride concentration in type 2 diabetics on sulfonylurea therapy. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers. 2012;16(8):924–30.
- Zhang H, Liu X, Kuang H, Yi R, Xing H. Association of sulfonylurea receptor 1 genotype with therapeutic response to gliclazide in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2007;77(1):58–61.
- Sato R, Watanabe H, Genma R, Takeuchi M, Maekawa M, Nakamura H. ABCC8 polymorphism (Ser1369Ala): influence on severe hypoglycemia due to sulfonylureas. Pharmacogenomics. 2010;11(12):1743–50.

- Pearson ER, Donnelly LA, Kimber C, Whitley A, Doney AS, McCarthy MI, Hattersley AT, Morris AD, Palmer CN. Variation in TCF7L2 influences therapeutic response to sulfonylureas: a GoDARTs study. Diabetes. 2007;56(8):2178–82.
- Schroner Z, Javorsky M, Tkacova R, Klimcakova L, Dobrikova M, Habalova V, Kozarova M, Zidzik J, Rudikova M, Tkac I. Effect of sulphonylurea treatment on glycaemic control is related to TCF7L2 genotype in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2011;13(1):89–91.
- Holstein A, Hahn M, Korner A, Stumvoll M, Kovacs P. TCF7L2 and therapeutic response to sulfonylureas in patients with type 2 diabetes. BMC Med Genet. 2011;12:30.
- Villareal DT, Koster JC, Robertson H, Akrouh A, Miyake K, Bell GI, Patterson BW, Nichols CG, Polonsky KS. Kir6.2 variant E23K increases ATP-sensitive K+ channel activity and is associated with impaired insulin release and enhanced insulin sensitivity in adults with normal glucose tolerance. Diabetes. 2009;58(8):1869–78.
- Vaxillaire M, Veslot J, Dina C, Proenca C, Cauchi S, Charpentier G, Tichet J, Fumeron F, Marre M, Meyre D, et al. Impact of common type 2 diabetes risk polymorphisms in the DESIR prospective study. Diabetes. 2008;57(1):244–54.
- Zhou D, Zhang D, Liu Y, Zhao T, Chen Z, Liu Z, Yu L, Zhang Z, Xu H, He L. The E23K variation in the KCNJ11 gene is associated with type 2 diabetes in Chinese and East Asian population. J Hum Genet. 2009;54(7):433–5.
- Pearson ER, Flechtner I, Njolstad PR, Malecki MT, Flanagan SE, Larkin B, Ashcroft FM, Klimes I, Codner E, Iotova V, et al. Switching from insulin to oral sulfonylureas in patients with diabetes due to Kir6.2 mutations. N Engl J Med. 2006;355(5):467–77.
- Siklar Z, Ellard S, Okulu E, Berberoglu M, Young E, Savas Erdeve S, Mungan IA, Hacihamdioglu B, Erdeve O, Arsan S, et al. Transient neonatal diabetes with two novel mutations in the KCNJ11 gene and response to sulfonylurea treatment in a preterm infant. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2011;24(11–12):1077–80.
- Dupont J, Pereira C, Medeira A, Duarte R, Ellard S, Sampaio L. Permanent neonatal diabetes mellitus due to KCNJ11 mutation in a Portuguese family: transition from insulin to oral sulfonylureas. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2012;25(3–4):367–70.
- Klen J, Dolzan V, Janez A. CYP2C9, KCNJ11 and ABCC8 polymorphisms and the response to sulphonylurea treatment in type 2 diabetes patients. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2014;70(4):421–8.
- Fatehi M, Raja M, Carter C, Soliman D, Holt A, Light PE. The ATP-sensitive K(+) channel ABCC8 S1369A type 2 diabetes risk variant increases MgATPase activity. Diabetes. 2012;61(1):241–9.
- 69. Groves CJ, Zeggini E, Minton J, Frayling TM, Weedon MN, Rayner NW, Hitman GA, Walker M, Wiltshire S, Hattersley AT, et al. Association analysis of 6,736 U.K. subjects provides replication and confirms TCF7L2 as a type 2 diabetes susceptibility gene with a substantial effect on individual risk. Diabetes. 2006;55(9):2640–4.
- van Vliet-Ostaptchouk JV, Shiri-Sverdlov R, Zhernakova A, Strengman E, van Haeften TW, Hofker MH, Wijmenga C. Association of variants of transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) with susceptibility to type 2 diabetes in the Dutch Breda cohort. Diabetologia. 2007;50(1):59–62.
- Mayans S, Lackovic K, Lindgren P, Ruikka K, Agren A, Eliasson M, Holmberg D. TCF7L2 polymorphisms are associated with type 2 diabetes in northern Sweden. Eur J Hum Genet. 2007;15(3):342–6.
- Cauchi S, Meyre D, Dina C, Choquet H, Samson C, Gallina S, Balkau B, Charpentier G, Pattou F, Stetsyuk V, et al. Transcription factor TCF7L2 genetic study in the French population: expression in human beta-cells and adipose tissue and strong association with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes. 2006;55(10):2903–8.
- Zhang C, Qi L, Hunter DJ, Meigs JB, Manson JE, van Dam RM, Hu FB. Variant of transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) gene and the risk of type 2 diabetes in large cohorts of U.S. women and men. Diabetes. 2006;55(9):2645–8.
- Chandak GR, Janipalli CS, Bhaskar S, Kulkarni SR, Mohankrishna P, Hattersley AT, Frayling TM, Yajnik CS. Common variants in the TCF7L2 gene are strongly associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the Indian population. Diabetologia. 2007;50(1):63–7.
- Hayashi T, Iwamoto Y, Kaku K, Hirose H, Maeda S. Replication study for the association of TCF7L2 with susceptibility to type 2 diabetes in a Japanese population. Diabetologia. 2007;50(5):980–4.
- Cauchi S, El Achhab Y, Choquet H, Dina C, Krempler F, Weitgasser R, Nejjari C, Patsch W, Chikri M, Meyre D, et al. TCF7L2 is reproducibly associated with type 2 diabetes in various ethnic groups: a global meta-analysis. J Mol Med. 2007;85(7):777–82.

- Sale MM, Smith SG, Mychaleckyj JC, Keene KL, Langefeld CD, Leak TS, Hicks PJ, Bowden DW, Rich SS, Freedman BI. Variants of the transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) gene are associated with type 2 diabetes in an African-American population enriched for nephropathy. Diabetes. 2007;56(10):2638–42.
- Zhou Y, Park SY, Su J, Bailey K, Ottosson-Laakso E, Shcherbina L, Oskolkov N, Zhang E, Thevenin T, Fadista J, et al. TCF7L2 is a master regulator of insulin production and processing. Hum Mol Genet. 2014;23(24):6419–31.
- Osmark P, Hansson O, Jonsson A, Ronn T, Groop L, Renstrom E. Unique splicing pattern of the TCF7L2 gene in human pancreatic islets. Diabetologia. 2009;52(5):850–4.
- 80. Chiang YT, Ip W, Jin T. The role of the Wnt signaling pathway in incretin hormone production and function. Front Physiol. 2012;3:273.
- Kumar P, Henikoff S, Ng PC. Predicting the effects of coding nonsynonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. Nat Protoc. 2009;4(7):1073–81.
- Hicks S, Wheeler DA, Plon SE, Kimmel M. Prediction of missense mutation functionality depends on both the algorithm and sequence alignment employed. Hum Mutat. 2011;32(6):661–8.
- Niu T, Liu N, Yu X, Zhao M, Choi HJ, Leo PJ, Brown MA, Zhang L, Pei YF, Shen H, et al. Identification of IDUA and WNT16 Phosphorylation-Related Non-Synonymous Polymorphisms for Bone Mineral Density in Meta-Analyses of Genome-Wide Association Studies. J Bone Miner Res. 2016;31(2):358–68.
- Manickam M, Ravanan P, Singh P, Talwar P. In silico identification of genetic variants in glucocerebrosidase (GBA) gene involved in Gaucher's disease using multiple software tools. Front Genet. 2014;5:148.
- Wei Q, Wang L, Wang Q, Kruger WD, Dunbrack Jr RL. Testing computational prediction of missense mutation phenotypes: functional characterization of 204 mutations of human cystathionine beta synthase. Proteins. 2010;78(9):2058–74.
- Ortega VE, Meyers DA. Pharmacogenetics: implications of race and ethnicity on defining genetic profiles for personalized medicine. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2014;133(1):16–26.
- Sadee W, Dai Z. Pharmacogenetics/genomics and personalized medicine. Hum Mol Genet. 2005;14(2):R207-214.
- Dancey JE, Bedard PL, Onetto N, Hudson TJ. The genetic basis for cancer treatment decisions. Cell. 2012;148(3):409–20.
- Evans WE, Relling MV. Moving towards individualized medicine with pharmacogenomics. Nature. 2004;429(6990):464–8.
- Table of Pharmacogenomic Biomarkers in Drug Labels. [http://www.fda.gov/ Drugs/ScienceResearch/ResearchAreas/Pharmacogenetics/ucm083378.htm].
- 91. Niu T. Algorithms for inferring haplotypes. Genet Epidemiol. 2004;27(4):334-47.
- Niu T, Qin ZS, Xu X, Liu JS. Bayesian haplotype inference for multiple linked single-nucleotide polymorphisms. Am J Hum Genet. 2002;70(1):157–69.
- Lee JE, Choi JH, Lee JH, Lee MG. Gene SNPs and mutations in clinical genetic testing: haplotype-based testing and analysis. Mutat Res. 2005;573(1–2):195–204.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

